First report on introduced freshwater fishes in the waters of Aceh, Indonesia

Zainal A. Muchlisin

Received – 25 September 2011/Accepted – 25 May 2012. Published online: 30 June 2012; ©Inland Fisheries Institute in Olsztyn, Poland Citation: Muchlisin Z.A. 2012 – First report on introduced freshwater fishes in the waters of Aceh, Indonesia – Arch. Pol. Fish. 20: 129-135.

Abstract. There is a paucity of information regarding introduced freshwater fishes in Indonesian waters. Hence, the objective of the present study was to evaluate the distribution of introduced fishes in the waters in the vicinity of Aceh, Indonesia. Fish sampling was conducted in five regions of Aceh at 17 sampling locations from January to June 2008. The samples were evaluated based on the Global Invasive Species Database and current publications and reports. A total of 114 species were recorded during the survey, of which nine were shortlisted as introduced species. Moreover, one additional introduced species, *Atractosteus spatula* (Lacepède), was recorded in April 2011. Overall, ten species of introduced fishes were noted in Aceh waters; of these *Oreochromis mossambicus* (Peters), was the most widely distributed.

Keywords: distribution, threatened, introduced species, endemic, red list

Introduction

The conservation of freshwater fishes is recognized as an important global issue since the number of species that are extinct, endangered, or becoming rare is increasing dramatically as a result of numerous

Department of Aquaculture

e-mail: muchlisinza@yahoo.com

anthropogenic perturbations (Cowx and Collares-Pereira 2002, Drechsler and Watzold 2004) such as pollution, changes in land use, river management and dam building (McCully 1996), the introduction of exotic species (Lucas and Marmulla 2000), deforestation, and effluent discharges (De Silva et al. 2007). However, the introduction of exotic species is widely considered to be the second most important cause of species extinction after habitat devastation (Vitousek et al. 1997, Simberloff 2003). According to Acosta and Gupta (2005), exotic fishes have been intentionally introduced mainly to enhance fisheries and aquaculture production thus increasing available animal protein sources especially in developing countries. In contrast, fish are most often introduced to support recreational fishery activities in developed countries. Other major reasons include pest control and research activities. Accidental introduction can occur through neighboring waterways that are opened by engineering projects and from vessel ballast water exchange (Sorensen and Hoye 2007).

Aceh Province, Indonesia has many aquatic resources including coastal waters, marshes, rivers and lakes, and even the rain forests of the Leuser and Ulu Masen ecosystems, which act as global lungs. Aquaculture is currently growing rapidly in Aceh to meet increasing market demands and in response to consumer awareness regarding the nutritional advantages of fish protein. In the 1980s and 1990s, aquaculture

Muchlisin Z.A. [=]

Faculty of Fishery and Marine Sciences, Syiah Kuala University, Banda Aceh 23111, Indonesia

Tsunami and Disaster Mitigation Research Center (TDMRC), Syiah

Kuala University, Banda Aceh 23231, Indonesia

activities in Aceh focused on brackish water aquaculture of, for example, tiger shrimp, *Penaeus monodon* (Fabricius), and milkfish, *Chanos chanos* (Forsskal), with the latter having been cultured extensively traditionally. Unfortunately, the industry collapsed in the late 1990s because of shrimp diseases (*Monodon baculovirus*, MBV) and damage to coastal ponds as a result of the massive tsunami in late 2004. These cultured species have been taken over by freshwater species; unfortunately most of them are introduced fishes.

According to Zambrano et al. (2001) and Davis (2003), the introduction of an exotic species into a delicate aquatic ecosystem can result in serious environmental problems, reduced biodiversity, and economic declines (Sala et al. 2000, Leung et al. 2006, Westphal et al. 2008) or even the extinction of endemic and native species (Lever 1996, Stapp and Hayward 2002). Unfortunately, the causes of population declines are often not assessed until long after an invasion (Strecker 2006).

The diversity of freshwater fishes of Aceh was reported by Muchlisin and Siti-Azizah (2009). However, there is no complete list of introduced species in Aceh waters. Hence, the objectives of the present study were to evaluate the status of introduced freshwater fishes and their distribution in Aceh waters.

Materials and Methods

Study sites

The study was conducted for a duration of six months from January to June 2008 in seventeen locations around Aceh Province, Indonesia. Based on topography and water characteristics, Aceh Province can be divided into five regions as follows (Fig. 1): (1) western Aceh where the topography generally includes marsh lands of black water with high acid contents, irrigation canals, and brackish waters in some areas. It includes the Aceh Jaya, Aceh Barat, and Nagan Raya districts; (2) southern Aceh is characterized by black and rust-colored waters because of the high amounts of total suspended solids during rainy seasons, and the sampling areas are



Figure 1. Map of Aceh Province with regions and main cities denoted.

generally tropical rain forest (Leuser Ecosystem). This region includes the Aceh Selatan district; (3) central Aceh – highland topography with rivers and streams of clear water where the Aceh Tengah and Aceh Tenggara districts are representative of the central region. Moreover, Lake Laut Tawar, which was selected as a habitat model for conservation in this project, is situated in this region; (4) eastern Aceh – with slow-flowing rivers (several influenced by tides) as well as irrigation canals, the eastern region covers the Pidie, Biruen, and Tamiang districts; (5) northern Aceh – represents an area of variable water characteristics such as fast and slow flowing rivers and some of the rivers are influenced by tides. This region is represented by the Banda Aceh and Aceh Besar districts.

Fish sampling

The survey was conducted based on initial reports by local residents. Gillnets (0.75, 1, 2, and 3 inch mesh), hooks, casting nets (1, 2 and 3 inch mesh), and traditional traps (bubu) were used to catch fish samples.

Table 1

Indonesia	
Aceh,	
of	
waters	
the	
ш.	
distribution	
their	
and	
fishes	
f introduced	
t ol	
Lis	

	ЦЦ.		Southern				Wester	п			Cei	ntral		Eastern			Nort	hern		Distribu-
1N0.	ramuy	opecies	A	B	C	D	ы	ы	G	H	-	ſ	K	Г	W	z	0	Ч	d	- tion (%)
-	Lepisosteidae	Atractosteus spatula (Lacepède)	1		+	I	ı	I	1	1		1	1							5.88
2	Clariidae	<i>Clarias gariepinus</i> (Burchell)		+	ī	ī			ī	,	ī	ī		I		+	ı	ī		11.76
3	Cyprinidae	Cyprinus carpio L.	ı	+		ī	ı	·			+				ı	+		+		23.53
4	Cyprinidae	Ctenopharyngodon idella (Val.)	ı	I.	I.	I.	T		I.	ı.	+	I	I.	I			I	+	I.	11.76
21	Cichlidae	Oreochromis moscamhicus (Peters)	,	+	·	ı			·		+	+	ı	+		+		ı		29.41
9	Cichlidae	Oreochromis niloticus (L.)	ı	ī	ŀ	ī	ī	ī	,	+	+	,		+	ı	+	ı	,		23.53
7	Poeciliidae	Aplocheilus panchax (Hamilton)		+		ı	ı	+				ı		ı	ı	+	ı			17.65
×	Loricariidae	Pterygoplichthys pardalis (Castelnau)	I		ī	ī	ī	,	ī	ī	ī	+	ī		ī	ī	ı	ī	ī	5.88
6	Poeciliidae	Xiphophorus helleri (Heckel)	ı	,	ı	I	ı	,	ı	ı	+	ı	ī				ı	ī	ı	5.88
10	Poeciliidae	Xiphophorus maculatus (Günther)	ı		I.	I.	ı.		I.	ı.	+	I.	ī		I.	I.	I	I.		5.88
Sou Ace	th Region: A - h Barat; E - W	 Lembang River, Aceh /oyla River, Aceh Barat 	n Selatan; ; F – Kuali	West] a Tuha	Region 1, Naga	: B – S n Rava	impang :: G – N	Dam 6 agan Ri	and irri iver. Na	gated (12an Rá	canal, ∡ ava: H -	Aceh Bá - Lagea	arat; C - m River	- Meurel . Aceh Ja	oo River 1va: Cer	r, Aceh utral Re	Barat;] gion: I -	D – Al	ue Pede Tawar I	ang River, ake, Aceh

Tengah; J - Alas River, Aceh Tenggara; East Region: K - Aras Napal, Aceh Timur, L - Batee Iliek River, Biureun; M - Pante Radja canal, Pidie; North Region: N - Sibreh

Irrigation canal, Aceh Besar; O - Murtala River Jantho, Aceh Besar; P - Aceh River, Banda Aceh; Q - Cut River, Banda Banda Aceh

The fishes caught were counted, and sub-samples representing each species were cleaned and photographed, and then preserved in 10% formalin in plastic bags. The bags were tagged with catch location, date, and the local name of the fish. These fish samples were transported to the laboratory and identified based on Kottelat et al. (1993), Nelson (1994), Gilbert and Williams (2002), and Vida and Kotai (2006). After identification the samples were transferred to 70% ethanol for long-term preservation.

Evaluation of introduced status and it distribution

After identification and documentation, the status of each fish as an introduced species was determined based on the Global Invasive Species Database (http://www.issg.org/database/welcome/). Additional information on the present status of the fishes was also obtained from Fishbase data and scientific publications. The local distribution of each identified species with confirmed taxonomic status was calculated as follows:

Local distribution (%) = $L_i/L_t \times 100$, where, L_i = total number of locations where species i was found and L_t = total number of sampling locations.

Results

A total of 114 species belonging to 69 genera, 41 families, and 12 orders were evaluated for their status. The complete list of freshwater fishes was reported in a previous study (Muchlisin and Siti-Azizah 2009). Of these, nine were identified as introduced species. However, after the survey period (January – June 2008), in April 2011, we found one additional introduced species, the alligator gar fish, *Atractosteus spatula* (Lacepède), in a fish pond in the city of Meulaboh (western region of Aceh). Overall, there were 10 species of introduced fishes recorded in Aceh waters (Table 1).

Among the introduced species, *Oreochromis* mosambicus (Peters), *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.), and

Cyprinus carpio L. were widely distributed. For instance, *O. mossambicus* and *O. niloticus* were found in four regions of Aceh. In addition, the central region had higher numbers of introduced species as compared to other regions, and no introduced species were observed in the southern region of Aceh during the study (Table 1).

Discussion

Wargasasmita (2005) recorded 19 introduced fish species in Indonesian waters. In comparison, 10 introduced species were reported in Aceh Province waters; these included *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell), *C. carpio*, two species of tilapia – *O. mossambicus* and *O. niloticus*, two species of swordtail – *Xiphophorus hellerii* Heckel and *X. maculatus* (Günther), *Pterygoplichthys pardalis* (Castelnau), *Aplocheilus panchax* (Hamilton), *Ctenopharyngodon idella* (Val.), and *A. spatula*.

Alien fish species have been introduced into Aceh waters for decades, but there are no existing data available regarding species or their distribution. This article is the first report on this issue. In a local context, there are also no data available regarding other Indonesian regions for comparisons. However, in general, the total number of introduced species in Indonesia is lower compared to other countries, for example Greece, Singapore, Poland, Austria, and Israel, for example, but higher than Bangladesh (Table 2). This is probably because of the limited indigenous species of high economic value in these countries, which provides an impetus for introducing exotic species into aquaculture to increase national fishery production. Kumar (2000) reported that exotic fishes are introduced globally mainly to improve fisheries production, sport, the ornamental fish trade, and for the bio-control of mosquitoes. Muchlisin (2010) reported that 15 freshwater fish species of higher economic value occurred in Aceh waters.

In April 2011, one specimen of alligator gar fish, *A. spatula*, was caught by a fisher using a hook baited

Table 2Total number of introduced fish species by country

Country	Total number of in- troduced species	Authors
Greece	66	Zenetos et al. (2009)
Singapore	54	Ng and Tan (2010)
Poland	40	Nowak et al. (2008)
Austria	27	Rabitsch and Essl (2006)
Israel	27	Roll et al. (2007)
Malaysia	26	Chong et al. 2010
Spain	25	Ribeiro et al. (2008)
India	24	Kumar (2000)
Nepal	23	Gurung (2005)
Indonesia	19	Wargasasmita (2005)
Bangladesh	15	Islam et al. (2003)

with shrimp in a coastal pond in the city of Meulaboh, and the specimen was deposited at the Laboratory of Ichthyology, Syiah Kuala University. This fish was probably released incidentally from the aquarium during the tsunami in December 2004.

Among introduced species, *O. mossambicus, O. niloticus*, and *C. carpio* were more widely distributed than were other exotic species. Kottelat et al. (1993) reported that *O. mossambicus, O. niloticus*, and *C. gariepinus* were introduced worldwide from Africa for fish consumption and reared in ponds or cages. The tilapia species of *O. mossambicus* and *O. niloticus* have been introduced into 90 and 85 countries, respectively, and from 13 to 15 of these countries have reported ecological problems with them (Casal 2006). In addition, *O. mossambicus* and *C. carpio* have been included in the top hundred of the world's most destructive invasive alien species (GISP 2004).

Many authors are in general agreement that the introduction of alien species has a negative impact on biodiversity. This is a global ecological problem, especially in inland water systems (Wellcomme 1992, Garcia-Berthou and Moreno-Amich 2000) and fisheries production (Sorensen and Hoye 2007). The indiscriminate introduction of aquatic organisms from one habitat into another poses serious risks and represents a significant threat to aquatic biodiversity (Wellcomme 1988) since it can cause a decline in or even the extinction of endemic and native species (Lever 1996, Kumar 2000, Macneale et al. 2010). The mechanisms responsible for this include predation (Elvira et al. 1996, Nicola et al. 1996), food com-(Garcia-Berthou 1999, Alcaraz petition and Garcia-Bethou 2007), habitat alteration and/or the disruption of ecological processes (Garcia-Berthou 2001, Bruton 1995), disturbances in mate recognition (Seehausen et al. 1997), the introduction of new pathogens or diseases (Zenetos et al. 2009) that can have negative consequences on aquaculture and capture fisheries and/or other resources that impact the livelihoods of fisheries communities (FAO 2005), hybridization with native species (Elvira 1995, Almodovar et al. 2006, Nguyen and De Silva 2006, Peh 2010), and ecosystem modification (Zambrano et al. 2001, Peh 2010). In addition, Wellcomme (1988) reported that another negative impact of exotic species was the depletion in the production of commercially important species and substantial costs incurred for control and eradication programs.

There is no historical record on the introduction of O. mossambicus into Indonesia. However, this species was first observed in the estuarine zone of the Serang River Blitar, East Java by Mr. Moedjair in 1936/1939, and it was hence given the local name of mujair. In Aceh, O. mossambicus is believed to have been first introduced in the early 1950s. In Lake Laut Tawar the introduction of O. mossambicus was done by Mr. Radja Ilang in 1957/1958 (U. Kasim - personal communication). On the other hand, O. niloticus was introduced to Indonesia by the Center for Freshwater Research, Department of Fisheries Republic of Indonesia in 1969 and into Aceh in the 1990s. Both O. mossambicus and O. niloticus are well established and distributed widely in Aceh waters. These species are omnivorous and are not averse to eating small fishes, and many indigenous Indonesian fish species have disappeared as a consequence. This situation is worsened by the fact that O. mossambicus, O. niloticus, and C. carpio have become some of the most popular pond fishes in Indonesia, including in Aceh, thanks to the ease of rearing them and their fast growth rates which render them highly economical to culture, and therefore affordable not only to the rich but also to the poor.

To date, there has been no systematic study conducted to evaluate the impact of invasive species in Aceh or even in Indonesia generally. On the other hand, the threat of invasive species continues, and very limited attention is being paid to this issue by both society and the government.

Acknowledgments. This study was supported by Yayasan Ekosistim Lestari – PanEco. Thus, the author would like to thank Dr. Ian Singleton, the Director of Research and Development of PanEco, for his support. Thanks are also due to Prof. Siti Azizah from Universiti Sains Malaysia for her suggestions and advice during the preparation of the manuscript. The technical assistance from colleagues at the Faculty of Marine and Fisheries, Syiah Kuala University is also highly appreciated.

References

- Acosta B.O., Gupta M.V. 2005 The status of introduced carp species in Asia – In: Carp genetic resources for aquaculture in Asia (Eds) D.J. Penman, M.V. Gupta, M.M. Dey, The World Fish Center, Penang. Malaysia: 121-128.
- Alcaraz C., Garcia-Berthou E. 2007 Food of an endangered cyprinodont (*Aphanius iberus*): ontogenetic diet shift and prey electivity – Environ. Biol. Fish. 78: 193-207.
- Almodovar A., Nicola G.G., Elvira B., Garcia-Marin J.L. 2006 – Introgression variability among Iberian brown trout Evolutionary Significant Units: the influence of local management and environmental features – Freshwater Biol. 51: 1175-1187.
- Bruton M.N. 1995 Have fishes had their chips? The dilemma of threatened species – Environ. Biol. Fish. 43: 1-27.
- Casal C.M.V. 2006 Global documentation of fish introductions: the growing crisis and recommendations for action – Biol. Invasions 8: 3-11.
- Chong V.C., Lee P.K.Y., Lau C.M. 2010 Diversity, extinction risk and conservation of Malaysian fishes – J. Fish Biol. 76: 2009-2066.
- Cowx I.G., Collares-Pereira M.J. 2002 Freshwater fish conservation: option for the future – In: Conservation of freshwater fishes: option for the future (Eds) M.J. Collares-Pereira, I.G. Cowx, M.M. Coelho, Fishing News Books, Blackwell Science, UK: 443-452.

- Davis M.A. 2003 Biotic globalization: does competition from introduced species threaten biodiversity? Bioscience 53: 481-489.
- De Silva S.S., Abery N.W., Nguyen T.T. 2007 Endemic freshwater finfish of Asia: distribution and conservation status Diversity Distrib. 13: 172-184.
- Drechsler M., Watzold F. 2004 A decision model for the efficient management of a conservation fund over time – Anim. Biodivers. Conserv. 27: 283-285.
- Elvira B. 1995 Conservation status of endemic freshwater fish in Spain – Biol. Conserv. 72: 129-136.
- Elvira B., Nicola G.G., Almodovar A. 1996 Pike and red swamp crayfish: a new case on predator-prey relationship between aliens in central Spain – J. Fish Biol. 48: 437-446.
- FAO. 2005 International mechanism for the control and responsible use of alien species in aquatic ecosystem – Report of an Ad Hoc expert consultation, Xishuangbanna, People's Republic of China, 195 p.
- Garcia-Berthou E. 1999 Food of introduced mosquitofish: ontogenetic diet shift and prey selection – J. Fish Biol. 55: 135-147.
- Garcia-Berthou E., Moreno-Amich R. 2000 Introduction of exotic fish into a Mediterranean Lake over a 90-years period – Arch. Hydrobiol. 149: 271-284.
- Garcia-Berthou E. 2001 Size- and depth-dependent variation in habitat and diet of the common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) – Aquat. Sci. 63: 466-476.
- Gilbert C.R., Williams J.D. 2002 National Audubon Society Field Guide to Fishes, Revised Edition North America. Alfred A. Knopf, New York, 607 p.
- Gurung T.B. 2005 Responsible introduction of alien fish & biodiversity in Nepal – http://www.enaca.org/modules/news/article.php?storyid=579, Accessed on September 10, 2009.
- GISP. 2004 100 of the world's worst invasive alien species http://www.issg.org/booklet.pdf., Accessed on September 10, 2009.
- Islam M.M., Amin A.S.M.R., Sarker S.K. 2003 Invasive Alien Species in Bangladesh – In: Invasive Alien Species in South-Southeast Asia (Eds) N. Pallewatta, J.K. Rease, A.T. Guiterrez, National Reports and Directory of Resources, The Global Invasive Species Program, Cape Town, South Africa: 7-20.
- Kottelat M., Whitten A.J., Kartikasari S.N., Wirjoatmodjo S. 1993 – Freshwater fishes of western Indonesia and Sulawesi – Periplus Edition, Hong Kong, 344 p.
- Kumar A.B. 2000 Exotic fishes and freshwater fish diversity – Zoo Print J. 15: 363-367.
- Lever C. 1996 Naturalized fishes of the world Academic Press, London, UK, 408 p.
- Leung B., Bossenbroek J.M., Lodge D.M. 2006 Boats, pathways, and aquatic biological invasions: estimating

dispersal potential with gravity models - Biol. Invasions 8: 241-254.

- Lucas M., Marmulla G. 2000 An assessment of anthropogenic activities on and rehabilitation of river fisheries: current status and future direction – In: Management and Ecology of River Fisheries (Ed) I.G. Cowx, Fishing News Books, Oxford: 261-278
- Macneale K.H., Sanderson B.L., Courbois J.Y.P., Kiffney P.M.
 2010 Effects of nonnative brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*) on threatened juvenile Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) in an Idaho stream Ecol. Freshw. Fish 19: 139-152.
- McCully P. 1996 Silenced rivers. The ecology and politics of large dams Zed Books, London, UK. 350 p.
- Muchlisin Z.A., Siti-Azizah M.N. 2009 Diversity and distribution of freshwater fishes in Aceh waters, northern Sumatera, Indonesia – Int. J. Zool. Res. 5: 62-79.
- Muchlisin Z.A. 2010 Diversity of freshwater fishes in Aceh waters with emphasis on some biological aspect of the depik (*Rasbora tawarensis*) an endemic species in Lake Laut Tawar – PhD thesis, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang, 271 p.
- Nelson J.S. 1994 Fishes of the World. 3th edition John Wiley and Sons, Inc, New York, 600 p.
- Ng H.H., Tan H.H. 2010 An annotated checklist of the non-native freshwater fish species in the reservoirs of Singapore – Cosmos 6: 95-116.
- Nguyen T.T.T., De Silva S.S. 2006 Freshwater finfish biodiversity and conservation: an Asian perspective – Biodivers. Conserv. 15: 3543-3568.
- Nicola G.G., Almodovar A., Elvira B. 1996 The diet of introduced largemouth bass, *Micropterus salmoides*, in the Natural Park of the Ruidera Lakes, central Spain – Pol. Arch. Hydrobiol. 43: 179-184.
- Nowak M., Szczerbik P., Tatoj K., Popek W. 2008 Non-native freshwater fishes in Poland: an overview – AACL Bioflux 1: 173-191.
- Peh K.S.H. 2010 Invasive species in Southeast Asia: the knowledge so far Biodivers. Conserv. 19: 1083-1099.
- Rabitsch W., Essl F. 2006 Biological invasions in Austria: patterns and case studies – Biol. Invasions 8: 295-308.
- Ribeiro F., Elvira B., Collares-Pereira M.J., Moyle P.B. 2008 Life-history traits of non-native fishes in Iberian watersheds across several invasion stages: a first approach – Biol. Invasions 10: 89-102.
- Roll U., Dayan T., Simberloff D., Goren M. 2007 Characteristics of the introduced fish fauna of Israel – Biol. Invasions 9: 813-824.
- Sala O.E., Chapin F.S., Armesto J.J., Berlow E., Bloomfield J., Dirzo R., Huber-Sanwald E., Huenneke L.F., Jackson

R.B., Kinzig A., Leemans R., Lodge D.M., Mooney H.A., Oesterheld M., Poff N.L., Sykes M.T., Walker B.H., Walker M., Wall D.H. 2000 – Biodiversity – global biodiversity scenarios for the year 2100 – Science 287: 1770-1774.

- Seehausen O., Witten F., Katunzi E.F., Smits J., Bouton N. 1997 – Pattern of the remnant cichlid fauna in southern lake Victoria – Conserv. Biol. 11: 890-904.
- Simberloff D. 2003 Confronting introduced species: a form of xenophobia? Biol. Invasions 5: 179-192.
- Sorensen P.W., Hoye T.R. 2007 A critical review of the discovery and application of a migratory pheromone in an invasive fish, the sea lamprey *Petromyzon marinus* L. – J. Fish Biol. 71: 100-114.
- Stapp P., Hayward G.D. 2002 Effects of an introduced piscivore on native trout: insights from a demographic model – Biol. Invasions 4: 299-316.
- Strecker U. 2006 The impact of invasive fish on an endemic Cyprinodon species flock (Teleostei) from Laguna Chichancanab, Yucatan, Mexico – Ecol. Freshw. Fish 15: 408–418.
- Vida A., Kotai T. 2006 365 fishes Koneman Vince Books, China, 400 p.
- Vitousek P.M., Mooney H.A., Lubchenco J., Melillo J.M. 1997 – Human domination of Earth's ecosystems – Science 277: 494-499.
- Wargasasmita S. 2005 Invasion, threats of exotic fish species to diversity of indigenous fish species – J. Iktiol. Indo. 5: 5-10 (in Indonesian).
- Wellcome R.L. 1988 International introduction of inland aquatic species – FAO Fisheries Technical Paper, Rome, 294 p.
- Wellcomme R.L. 1992 A history of international introductions of inland aquatic species – ICES Mar. Sci. Sympos. 197: 3-14.
- Westphal M. I., Brown M., MacKinnon K., Noble I. 2008 The link between international trade and the global distribution of invasive alien species – Biol. Invasions 10:391-398.
- Zambrano L., Scheffer M., Martinez-Ramos M. 2001 Catastrophic response of lake to benthivorous fish introduction – Oikos 94:344-350.
- Zenetos A., Panucucci-Papadopoulou M., Zogaris S., Papastergiadou E., Vardakas L., Aligiza K., Economou A.N. 2009 – Aquatic alien species in Greece (2009): tracking sources, patterns and effects on the ecosystem – J. Biol. Res. Thessal. 12: 135-172.