

# Length-weight relationship and morphometrics of *Osmerus eperlanus* populations from two lakes of northeastern Poland

Andrzej Kapusta, Piotr Traczuk, Piotr Chmieliński, Krzysztof Kozłowski

Received – 15 July 2021/Accepted – 30 August 2021. Published online: 30 September 2021; ©Inland Fisheries Institute in Olsztyn, Poland

Citation: Kapusta, A., Traczuk P., Chmieliński P., Kozłowski K. (2021). Length-weight relationship and morphometrics of *Osmerus eperlanus* populations from two lakes of northeastern Poland. Fisheries & Aquatic Life 29: 185-188.

**Abstract.** This study provides the length-weight relationship (LWR) of European smelt (*Osmerus eperlanus*) occurring in two lakes in northeastern Poland. The morphometric characters of the two populations of this species in lakes Dargin and Dejguny were also compared. The LWRs were highly significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) with a high coefficient of determination ( $r^2 \geq 0.923$ ). The estimated  $b$  values ranged from 3.256 to 3.508. Sixteen of the 26 morphological characters measured differed between the two populations. No sexual dimorphism was observed. The research presents detailed data on the biometric characters of the species that contributes to the assessment of phenotypic plasticity and general morphological variation of the populations.

**Keywords:** fish, lakes, LWR, morphology, smelt

## Introduction

Fishes of the genus *Osmerus* have a near circumpolar distribution and inhabit areas with various ecological conditions (Nellbring 1989). European smelt (*Osmerus eperlanus*) is a small cold-water fish inhabiting marine waters, river estuaries, and large, deep lakes. In Poland, it occurs in both anadromous and lake forms (Heese 2000). It occurs abundantly in the Szczecin Lagoon, Gdańsk Bay, and the Vistula Lagoon. Its distribution in lakes is similar to that of fish of the genus *Coregonus*. However, it currently does not occur in many of the lakes that it previously inhabited naturally.

The length-weight relationship (LWR) is fundamentally important to fisheries science. Body length and weight are biometric data that are easily measured and are available from many monitoring study datasets (Zuchi et al. 2020). LWR values are used to determine body weight and biomass based on length data, to analyze species growth among regions and in different seasons of the year, and to track changes in growth patterns and fish population dynamics (Froese 2006, TSIONKI et al. 2021). Biometric characters are used to determine variation in body shape and proportions among different populations and species. Morphometric variation among populations or stocks can provide the foundation of studies of

---

A. Kapusta [✉], P. Chmieliński  
Department of Ichthyology, Hydrobiology, and Aquatic Ecology,  
Stanisław Sakowicz Inland Fisheries Institute, Olsztyn, Poland  
E-Mail: a.kapusta@infish.com.pl

P. Traczuk  
Department of Lake Fisheries, Stanisław Sakowicz Inland Fisheries  
Institute, Olsztyn, Poland

K. Kozłowski  
Department of Ichthyology and Aquaculture, University of Warmia  
and Mazury in Olsztyn, Oczipowskiego 5, 10-719, Olsztyn, Poland

short-term variations caused by the environment, and, therefore, they can be of wider use in fisheries management (Bronte and Moore 2007). The contribution of the present research to the knowledge of LWRs and morphometrics can be useful to fisheries management and the conservation of specific European smelt populations.

## Material and methods

Lakes Dargin and Dejguny are located in the Great Masurian Lakes region. Lake Dargin is mesotrophic with a surface area of 3,030 ha, a maximum depth of 37.6 m, and a mean depth of 10.6 m. Lake Dejguny is also mesotrophic with a surface area of 765 ha, a maximum depth of 45 m, and a mean depth of 12 m. European smelt were caught in Lake Dargin in March 2018 with beach seine (10 mm mesh size, 200 m length), while in Lake Dejguny they were caught in September 2021 with pelagic multi-mesh gillnets (6.25–55 mm mesh size, 27.5 m length). These specimens were transported on ice to the laboratory. Morphometric characters were measured to the nearest 0.1 mm with a digital slide caliper; all measurements were recorded on the left side of the body. A total of 26 morphometric characters were analyzed as recommended by Pravdin (1966). Morphometric characters of male and female European smelt from Lake Dargin did not differ

significantly statistically ( $P > 0.05$ ), which is why the two sexes were analyzed together. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to determine significant differences in the morphometric characters of the fish caught in both of the lakes.

WLRs were calculated using the equation (Le Cren 1951)  $W = a \times TL^b$ , where  $a$  is the intercept and  $b$  is the slope of the logarithmically transformed equation. The outliers of the log ( $W$ ) over log ( $TL$ ) plot were removed, and a statistical significance level of  $r^2$  was recorded (Froese 2006). ANOVA was used to evaluate the statistical significance of linear correlations.

## Results

LWRs parameters and coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ) for European smelt from both lakes are presented in Table 1 and demonstrates positive allometric growth. All regressions were statistically significant ( $P < 0.05$ ). The estimated values of  $b$  fluctuated from 3.256 (females from Lake Dargin) to 3.508 (males from Lake Dargin).

The morphometric characters of the European smelt from both of the lakes is presented in Table 2. Most of the morphometric characters differed significantly statistically in the two European smelt populations. Characters dependent on head length differed more frequently between these two populations.

**Table 1**  
Length-weight relationships (LWRs) for smelt (*O. eperlans*) from different lake populations

Lake	Sex	N	TL (cm)		BW (g)		$a$	$b$	$r^2$	References
			min	max	min	max				
Miedwie (Poland)	Mixed	503	6.5	22.0	1.1	67.8	0.0014	3.540		Trzebiatowski and Gaj 1978
Dargin (Poland)	Male	32	9.1	18.3	2.5	32.6	0.0012	3.508	0.968	Present study
Dargin (Poland)	Female	28	9.8	17.3	3.7	27.5	0.0023	3.256	0.923	Present study
Dejguny (Poland)	Mixed	70	8.4	17.3	2.6	25.5	0.0022	3.321	0.943	Present study

**Table 2**  
Morphometric data of European smelt (*O. eperlanus*) from lakes Dargin and Dejguny

Character	Dargin (n = 60)			Dejguny (n = 20)			P
	mean	range	SD	mean	range	SD	
TL (mm)	114.9	90.6-183.0	16.6	97.3	84.3-105.7	5.9	<0.05
FL (mm)	109.1	86.2-173.0	16.3	86.9	76.9-94.1	4.9	<0.05
SL (mm)	98.3	78.4-155.0	14.1	83.1	72.5-91.9	5.2	<0.05
Percentage of standard length							
Head length	22.4	15.7-27.0	3.8	19.5	16.1-22.7	1.8	<0.05
Predorsal distance	52.1	49.4-55.8	1.4	53.5	51.2-55.5	1.3	<0.05
Postdorsal distance	40.3	36.3-44.2	1.6	41.1	37.7-43.1	1.4	<0.05
Body depth	15.8	12.4-22.5	2.0	14.9	13.5-16.2	0.8	ns
Preanal distance	75.2	54.9-9-82.0	3.5	74.5	64.6-78.8	3.2	ns
Minimum body depth	5.2	4.4-6.4	0.4	5.1	4.5-5.9	0.4	ns
Caudal peduncle length	12.9	8.8-20.3	1.8	13.4	11.5-16.5	1.4	ns
C fin length	18.2	13.2-21.9	2.2	19.3	16.3-21.5	1.1	ns
P fin length	16.3	11.7-19.1	1.6	15.3	12.8-17.3	1.2	<0.05
V fin length	14.8	12.2-18.2	1.4	13.2	11.1-15.1	1.0	<0.05
D fin height	15.9	12.0-18.8	1.4	15.6	14.1-16.9	0.8	ns
A fin height	9.6	6.7-12.7	1.3	9.4	7.5-11.1	0.9	ns
D fin base length	7.1	5.0-9.4	0.9	7.4	6.6-8.5	0.6	ns
A fin base length	11.7	6.8-23.9	2.6	10.5	7.3-13.2	1.8	ns
P-V distance	32.8	27.6-46.6	2.7	29.1	26.1-32.0	1.5	<0.05
V-A distance	24.1	10.7-33.4	2.8	26.2	21.9-35.3	3.4	<0.05
Adipose fin length	4.0	2.1-5.3	0.7	3.1	2.3-4.1	0.5	<0.05
Percentage of head length							
Preorbital distance	30.7	24.8-59.2	4.6	26.3	22.2-30.3	2.3	<0.05
Eye diameter	26.3	18.3-34.9	3.8	22.7	20.1-26.0	1.5	<0.05
Postorbital distance	48.4	40.5-62.6	4.5	49.8	45.6-55.8	2.7	ns
Head depth	48.0	37.5-65.1	5.4	39.7	36.9-44.5	1.7	<0.05
Head width	32.4	23.4-43.5	4.7	24.4	21.2-28.4	1.8	<0.05
Mandible length	44.2	30.8-62.9	6.7	31.1	23.1-36.8	3.4	<0.05

## Discussion

European smelt inhabit marine waters, estuaries, and large lakes. The species is slow growing and has a short life cycle. However, this species is important locally for fisheries because of its shoal distribution (Sterligova and Ilmast 2017, Sendek and Bogdanov 2019, Tammiksaar and Kangur 2020). LWR values are an important tool for fisheries management and scientists for comparing, for example, the condition

and population growth of fish populations (Verreycken et al. 2011). The value of exponent  $b$  is usually close to 3, but it can fluctuate from 2 to 4. A value of 3 indicates that fish are growing symmetrically or isometrically, while values other than 3 indicate allometric growth. LWR values have been determined rarely for European smelt. FishBase (Froese and Pauly 2021) only has data for three locations. These indicate that European smelt exhibits allometric growth. The value of exponent  $b$  for the populations analyzed in the present research was


similar to that of the population in Lake Miedwie (Trzebiatowski and Gaj 1978).

Fish exhibit higher variation in morphometric characters both within and among populations than do other vertebrates, and they are more susceptible to environmentally induced morphological variation. Not a lot of biometric data are available from studies on European smelt (Shpilev et al. 2005), especially those concerning lake populations (Rembiszewski 1970). Populations in Poland exhibit significant variation in head length, caudal peduncle height and length, and anal fin height (Rembiszewski 1970). In comparison to that of Lake Dejguny, the population from Lake Dargin had larger body sizes and relatively longer heads. These differences affected some of the characters regarding head shape. However, caudal peduncle length and the smallest body depth were similar in the smelt inhabiting both of the lakes. The morphometric differentiation determined in the samples from both of the lakes suggested a link between the range of morphometric differentiation and environmental separation. Lake Dejguny is smaller and more isolated, while Lake Dargin is part of a larger lake complex with a combined surface area of nearly 102.8 km<sup>2</sup>. The differences noted in European smelt populations from lakes that differ environmentally probably stems from the high degree of plasticity in this species.

**Acknowledgment.** The study was supported from Project S-009 of the Stanisław Sakowicz Inland Fisheries Institute in Olsztyn, Poland.

**Author contributions.** A.K. conceived of the study; P.T. and K.K. collected and analyzed the data; P.T. and P.C. performed laboratory work, A.K. wrote the paper with contributions from all the authors.

#### ORCID iD

Andrzej Kapusta  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8979-5468>

Piotr Traczuk  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1130-569X>

Krzysztof Kozłowski  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8043-4885>

## References

- Bronte, C. R., Moore, S. A. (2007). Morphological variation of siscowet lake trout in Lake Superior. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society*, 136(2), 509-517.
- Froese, R. (2006). Cube law, condition factor and weight-length relationships: History, meta-analysis and recommendations. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 22, 241-253.
- Froese, R., Pauly, D. (2021). FishBase. World Wide Web electronic publication. [www.fishbase.org](http://www.fishbase.org), version (06/2021).
- Heese, T. (2000). European smelt *Osmerus eperlanus*. In: *Freshwater Fish of Poland* (Ed.) M. Brylińska, Wydawnictwo Naukowe PWN, Warszawa, 371-376.
- Le Cren, E. D. (1951). The length-weight relationship and seasonal cycle in gonad weight and condition in the perch (*Perca fluviatilis*). *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 20, 201-219.
- Nellbring, S. (1989). The ecology of smelts (genus *Osmerus*): a literature review. *Nordic Journal of Freshwater Research*, 65, 116-145.
- Pravdin, I. (1966). *A guide to fish study*. Moscow: Food Industry, pp. 376 (in Russian).
- Rembiszewski J. M. (1970). Population variation in smelt – *Osmerus eperlanus* (Linnaeus, 1758) (*Pisces*) in Poland. *Annales Zoologici*, 28(7), 65-95.
- Sendek, D. S., Bogdanov, D. V. (2019). European smelt *Osmerus eperlanus* in the eastern Gulf of Finland, Baltic Sea: stock status and fishery. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 94(6), 1001-1010.
- Shpilev, H., Ojaveer, E., Lankov, A. (2005). Smelt (*Osmerus eperlanus* L.) in the Baltic Sea. *Proceedings of the Estonian Academy of Sciences: Biology, Ecology* 54, 230-241.
- Sterligova, O. P., Ilmast, N. V. (2017). Population dynamics of invasive species of smelt *Osmerus eperlanus* in Lake Syamozero (South Karelia). *Journal of Ichthyology*, 57(5), 730-738.
- Tammiksaar, E., Kangur, K. (2020). Fish and fishing in Lake Peipsi (Estonia/Russia) since 1851: Similarities and differences between historical and modern times. *Journal of Great Lakes Research*, 46(4), 862-869.
- Trzebiatowski R., Gaj J. (1978). Growth, feeding and economic importance of smelt (*Osmerus eperlanus* L.) in the Lake Miedwie. *Acta Ichthyologica et Piscatoria*, 7 (2): 23-39.
- Tsionki, I., Petriki, O., Leonardos, I. D., Karachle, P. K., Stoumboudi, M. T. (2021). Length-weight relationships of 6 fish species caught in a Mediterranean lake (Trichonis-NW Greece). *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 37(4), 631-634.
- Verreycken, H., Van Thuyne, G., Belpaire, C. (2011). Length-weight relationships of 40 freshwater fish species from two decades of monitoring in Flanders (Belgium). *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 27(6), 1416-1421.
- Zuchi, N., Röpke, C., Shibuya, A., Farago, T., Carmona, M., Zuanon, J., Amadio, S. (2020). Length-weight relationship of fish species from Central Amazon floodplain. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 36(6), 837-841.

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR AUTHORS

Thank you for your interest in the *Fisheries & Aquatic Life* (formerly Archives of Polish Fisheries) We look forward to handling your submission. The *Fisheries & Aquatic Life* published continuously since 1992 is an international peer-reviewed journal published 4 times per year. All articles are open access and can be found on PDF format on journal website. Topics cover the entire range of the fish biology, aquatic life, fisheries, and aquaculture. The journal publishes research articles, short communications, reviews and monographic papers. Occasionally, the proceedings of conferences and symposia are published if they are relevant and timely.

**Manuscript Submission:** Manuscripts may be rejected without peer review if they do not comply with the instructions to authors or are beyond the scope of the journal. All manuscripts must be accompanied by the Copyright Transfer Statement, which can be found on journal website. This form must be completed and signed by the corresponding author on behalf of all the authors before processing of the manuscript can begin.

Five categories of contributions are published in *Fisheries & Aquatic Life*, the length of manuscripts including the tables, illustrations and references in standard manuscript pages should be no longer than:

1. review papers 30 pages,
2. research articles 20 pages,
3. short communications 6 pages,
4. book reviews 2 pages,
5. monographs 100 pages.

Manuscripts are submitted online at <http://www.editorialmanager.com/apf/>, where a user ID and password are assigned on the first visit. Authors are expected to suggest potential referees, selected internationally, for their manuscripts in the 'Suggest Reviewers' section.

**Ethics in publishing:** The ethics statements for our journal are based on the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors. For all parties involved in the act of publishing (the journal editor(s), the author, the peer reviewer and the publisher) should become familiar with the standards of ethical behavior used in *Fisheries & Aquatic Life*.

Editors are responsible for documenting any cases of academic fraud, especially of violating the principles of ethics in research work. The journal uses plagiarism detection software, so in submitting your manuscript you accept that it may be screened against previously published literature. Both ghostwriting and guest authorship are negative phenomena in the context of scientific standards and as such they will be denounced, including the authors' institutions, employers, and other public bodies involved. A ghostwriter is a person who significantly contributed to the publication but was not mentioned as a co-author or in the acknowledgments. Guest authorship is given when an author/co-author contributed little or nothing to the paper. The readers should be convinced that authors in a transparent, honest, and solid way present the output of their work, regardless if they are single authors or if they had help by a specialized person. Authorship should be limited to those who have contributed substantially to the work.

Submission of an article implies that the work described has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract, a published lecture or academic thesis), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright-holder. To verify originality, your article may be checked by the originality detection service Crossref Similarity Check.

**Peer review:** Editors evaluate each manuscript to determine if its topic and content is suitable for consideration by the *Fisheries & Aquatic Life*. Manuscripts that do not meet minimum criteria are returned to the authors within two weeks of receipt. Manuscripts that pass the initial review are assigned to an Associate Editor, who selects several referees based on their expertise in that particular field. Each manuscript is reviewed by at least two referees under a double-blind peer review process, where both the referees and the authors are kept anonymous. After collecting the referees' reports, the Associate Editor makes a recommendation on the acceptability of the manuscript to the Editor-in-Chief. Any manuscript returned by an editor to the corresponding author for revision will be considered as withdrawn if not resubmitted within a period of one month.

**Preparation of the manuscript:** Manuscripts, written in English, should be submitted in MS Word (97 or higher) either as standard Document (.doc). Use a normal plain 12-point font (e.g. Times New Roman) for text. All sections of the typescript should be double-spaced, with 30 mm margins. A typical manuscript will have the following components: Title page, main document, tables and figures.

**Title page:** The title should be brief and not laden with too much detail. The first title page should include the title; name(s) of the author(s); name(s) of the department(s) and institution(s) in which the work was done; and e-mail address of the author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Save this title page as a separate file for your submission. A second title page without any author information should begin the full manuscript file. This page will be used for double-blind peer review.

**Main document:** The first page of the manuscript must include a title page without any author identifiers. The abstract should follow the title page. Abstract must be brief (100-200 words) but give clear information about the objectives, and obtained results. Below the abstract, authors must provide up to 6 keywords, suitable for indexing or web searches, in alphabetical order and separated by commas.

**Introduction:** The introduction should provide a context for the work to be reported. In doing so, it should present at least a general overview of previous literature on the subject, guiding the reader to the paper's purpose and importance.

**Scientific names:** Complete scientific names, including the author with current taxonomic disposition, should be given when organisms are first mentioned in the text or elsewhere. Scientific (Latin) names referring to the lowest taxonomic units (species and subspecies) should be written in italics. The generic name may then be abbreviated as an initial capital except if intervening references to other genera would cause confusion. Common names of organisms must be accompanied by the correct scientific name at first mention. Latin names should be italicised (or underlined).

**Study area:** The study area may be described under a separate heading before Material and methods.

**Material and methods:** Material and methods should be concise but allow confirmation of observations and repetition of the study. Abbreviations (of names, chemicals etc.) should be defined when first mentioned in the text unless they are commonly used and internationally known and accepted. Use SI and metric units. Days = d, hours = h, minutes = min, seconds = s, grams = g, litres = l, metres = m, sample size = n, degrees of freedom = df, standard error of the mean = SE, standard deviation = SD, probability = p, not significant = ns, year(s) = yr, month(s) = mo, figure = Fig., table = Table, versus = vs, species = sp. Always use the form g ml<sup>-1</sup> and not g/ml, or kJ g<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> and not kJ/g/h. In decimals use the decimal point, not the comma. Degree symbols (°) must be used (from the Symbol list on the Insert menu in Microsoft Word) and not superscript letter "o"